

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/943,579	PAVLOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(3) _____.

(2) Carol Mintz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that claims 9-17 appeared to be allowable, but other claims were not. The examiner and attorney discussed 112, 2nd and 6th issues with regard to claim 18, but did not come to an agreement. The attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to cancel non-allowable claims, and stated that the subject matter of cancelled claims may be recaptured in a divisional application..